

CANDOUR

Founded by A. K. Chesterton in 1953 to defend National Sovereignty against the menace of International Finance
Vol. XXXVI No. 9

Registered at the G.P.O. as a Newspaper

The British
Views-Letter

September, 1985

SOUTH AFRICA—THE LAST OUTPOST?

The political prognosis in South Africa continues to look far from cheerful, with a seemingly inexorable slide into disorder in the African townships and P. W. Botha's unashamedly Machiavellian manoeuvres within the National Party establishment to hitch the nation's future to a programme of multi-racial liberalisation. What forces lie behind Mr. Botha's "new dispensation"? The following comments by our founding editor, A. K. Chesterton, published in 1970 in Paul Pulitzer's European Report, bear repetition for their instructiveness.

The importance of Southern Africa — the Republic, Rhodesia and the two Portuguese territories — in relation to world affairs is chiefly attributable to the fact that the overt international take-over bidders, after capturing the rest of the Continent, have here been brought to a halt.

While the puppet presidents and prime ministers north of the Zambesi cry for armed intervention to enforce what in Africa is the lunatic conception of "one man, one vote," they do more than create a smoke-screen to distract attention from the insidious covert attack which for some years now has been gathering momentum, especially in the Republic. On the principle of "if you can't beat them, join them" (so as to beat them at the next remove) cosmopolitan finance has been poured into the country throughout the decade, with results familiar enough elsewhere but strange within the South African context.

FOREIGN CAPITAL A "TROJAN HORSE" —

Hitherto the Afrikaners (Europeans mostly of Dutch and French Huguenot descent) had always looked askance at "foreign capital," which they associated (not without reason) with the powerful English-speaking newspapers. In consequence, their own business interests gave maximum support to the Nationalist Party, by tradition the party of Afrikanerdom.

However, with the influx of fresh capital from overseas many of the leading Afrikaner business concerns were enticed into the vast Oppenheimer-Rothschild complex — a development described as "the Afrikaner seeking his rightful place in the economic sun." To a few commentators, those with knowledge of the manipulation of international affairs, the process appeared in a very different light. The economic link-up did not lead to the abandonment by Afrikaner financiers of the National Party, which has provided the country's government for the last twenty years: what it did lead to was the party's sensational change of attitude towards many

problems upon which its stand previously had been intransigent.

Nationalism in the Cape has always stood a little to the left of Nationalism in the Transvaal and the Free State, so that it was natural that its leading newspaper in that province, *Die Burger*, should blaze the trail towards the Party's new-found liberalism.

PLANNED CARVE-UP OF SOUTH AFRICA

Its editor-in-chief, Mr. Piet Cillie, informed me seven years ago that he himself was a liberal "of the old-fashioned sort," which was a somewhat vague qualification and I can only suppose that it applied solely to the South African scene, where liberalism nowadays is often a facade for the banned Communist Party. Be that as it may, Mr. Cillie's argument was that South Africa was not a unitary country, that union had been "imposed" by British imperialism, and that the country had now to be resolved into its seven or eight component parts.

"Do you mean," I enquired, "that each of these parts is to be given sovereign independence, each with its own power of military and police, its own treasury, its own foreign policy and its own seat in the United Nations?"

Mr. Cillie replied: "Precisely. It is impossible for White to rule over Black indefinitely."

Neither I, nor my Nationalist friends, had supposed that Dr. Verwoerd's Bantustan policy was ever intended to carve up South Africa into autonomous states, mostly black. Horrified at the thought of the chaos such a state of affairs would create, I said: "Surely that is not the policy of the South African Government?"

"I assure you that it is" insisted Mr. Cillie.

A few days later I put the same question to the Under-Secretary for External Affairs, who repudiated any such policy with no little vehemence. However, as next year he led the South African delegation to the United Nations Assembly, and there presented the South African case virtually in Mr. Cillie's own

words, and as the year following the Minister for External Affairs did exactly the same, it will be seen how little weight should be attached to the official denial.

Since then the theme has been played down by Nationalist spokesmen, but nothing can erase from the record these statements of the ultimate intention, and nothing can disguise the fact that the spirit which has acquiesced in the abandonment of principles once considered essential to White survival is now active over a very wide area of Nationalist thought and policy. One strand of this thinking is the idea of establishing friendly relations with the many Black "nations" north of the Zambesi brought into being by the abdication of the metropolitan countries in Europe.

VORSTER SHIFTS TOWARDS LIBERALISM

Theoretically, the idea sounds sensible enough and in practice it can be made to work, at any rate on a limited scale, as the relationship established with Malawi has proved. The difficulty arises when one considers the extension of such relations to embrace the majority of Black states. A diplomatic corps in Pretoria which included thirty or forty African ambassadors would make so profound, and potentially so disastrous, an impact on internal race relations within the Republic that all existing attitudes would be unable to cope with it.

THE FACTS ABOUT MANDELA

Nelson Mandela, sentenced to life imprisonment for his part in the African-Indian-Jewish sabotage conspiracy, addressed the court in these words: "I have dedicated my life to end white domination. It is an idea I hope to live to see realized. But, my lord, it is also an idea for which I am prepared to die." That was spoken like a man — a man of courage, of candour, and of nobility. But not a man with a mature sense of responsibility towards his own people. If Mandela knows anything of the state of the African continent before the coming of the White Man he must be aware that it was — to use Livingstone's description of Nyasaland — a charnel-house. The warlike tribes ravaged the peaceful tribes, killing the men and enslaving the women and children. Over every horizon lurked the terror of the stranger; inside every community was the even greater terror implanted in simple minds by the practitioners of witchcraft. The world of the African was a world of unending menace. Psychologically, despite the best efforts of the Western law-givers, that menace remains.

There would be other smags. Diplomatic relations without reference to trade would have very little meaning and sooner or later any significant commercial involvement would lead to multilateral political involvement. While South Africans might not shrink from the idea of using economic means to bring political pressure to bear upon their northern neighbours, if their own economy became in any way dependent upon those neighbours, and therefore vulnerable to political pressures in reverse, they would find irresistible the temptation to "cry havoc" and let loose, if not the dogs of war, then certainly a war of economic reprisals which could have no less dangerous repercussions.

Thus the idea of the tying-in of the South African economy with the economies of the rest of the continent, while making a strong appeal to the South African branch of international finance, whence doubtless it emanated, is one which any South African Government, particularly a Nationalist Government, might be expected to treat with the utmost caution. Instead, we have seen, to our astonishment, the Vorster Administration cock a snook at conservative tradition and go gallumping along the liberal path laid down by *Die Burger* and almost the entire Afrikaanse press. "Liberal" not being a beloved word in the Republic, the name found for the new approach was "verlichte" or "outward-looking."

What Mandela conspired to do was in effect to remove the restraints imposed by the White Man so as to return the continent to unchallenged barbarism and insensate cruelty. He may have said to himself: "I would prefer to be a bloody-minded barbarian, contending for a place in the sun against other bloody-minded barbarians, than be a synthetic European pretending to possess skills altogether beyond my grasp and thereby laying claim to run a state in the nuclear age with a capacity which I know in my heart belongs to the stone age." If so, he had a point of view — not an enlightened point of view but at any rate an African one. But what is one to say of the Jews and the Indians who were his accomplices— All that needs to be said, perhaps, is that all the accused, whose conspiracy had it proceeded would have brought death to many an innocent person, were lucky indeed to get off with their lives.

— Candour, June/July, 1964.

Read **SPEARHEAD**, edited by John Tyndall. Sample copy 65p. from P.O. Box 115, Hove, East Sussex BN3 3SB.

DR. SPOCK'S POISONOUS PRESCRIPTION

by NOEL HUNT

A recent study of classroom violence tells us that the cause of disruptive behaviour is largely what the tots can see on television. To some extent it may be true. Certainly, that accursed medium has much for which to answer. Yet the explanation seems a little too facile.

It is worth remembering that these violent babes are the children of the children of what could be called the Spock Generation. Years ago, a Dr. Benjamin Spock wrote a book which he called *The Commonsense Book of Baby and Child Care*. Although the book was almost completely devoid of common sense, it sold nine million copies in its first nine years. The trendy nonsense of Dr. Spock had an enormous influence. He maintained that children must be allowed to grow up unchecked and untrained. It would, ran the Gospel according to Spock, cause dreadful personality damage to check it in any way. Complete rubbish, of course, but it rang sweetly in the ears of people who could listen without laughing aloud to the humanistic — and Marxist-Leninist — theory that man is basically good, made bad only by his environment.

Many years later, with the damage done and the money safely banked, Dr. Spock admitted that much of what he had written was wrong. However, a generation of parents was largely taken in by the Spock doctrine. Thousands and thousands of children grew up untrained and unchecked, strangers to discipline or restraint, almost like Rousseau's Noble Savage. The only difference was that instead of running wild through the woods, they ran wild through the streets! These children emerged into an adult world where the full flood of liberal and humanistic hogwash was bringing about the Permissive Society. Standards of any sort were mocked as reactionary and ridiculous. The penologist and the social scientist were hard at work telling us that crime and violence did not arise from the innate nature of man but from the fact that he lived in an imperfect environment; the codswallop bequeathed us by Condorcet, Rousseau and for that matter the Marxist-Leninists. Never, said these tireless propagandists, must the thug and the lout ever be disciplined into acceptable behaviour. Above all, such people must never be "punished". The very use of that word sent penologists into frenzies. From the innumerable platforms always available to those whose beliefs tend to weaken our society, they shouted that punishment degraded the punisher,

but not the offender. He was presumably ennobled by punishment, though this awkward point is usually left discreetly vague. Never did these people mention that punishment often deterred from a repetition of the offence.

The result of this mass flight from the lessons of history, common sense and experience was a huge number of young adults without standards of behaviour or conduct, hardly an inkling of morals or ethics. How could they have? Nobody had taught them: it would have been unbearably old-fashioned and reactionary to have done so, as any fashionable pundit would confirm on request. These young adults were, however, adept at defining, and tenacious in claiming, "rights" which they had neither earned nor deserved. They were equally skilled at evading responsibilities.

Another Generation Unchecked

This sorry lot have now grown up and are having children of their own. Since they themselves had never been taught acceptable standards of behaviour, decency or morals, they could not pass them on to their children. The children of the Spock parents, like their fathers before them, grew up virtually unchecked.

Before the West took leave of its senses, children would be turned into acceptable human beings by the schools. Unfortunately, a great number of teachers fell as eagerly as everyone else for the nonsense of the Permissive Society. Many no doubt believed it, as did many more intelligent people. Many, too, saw quite clearly that in a permissive environment teaching was a soft option. Those teachers who tried to make civilised adults out of young louts soon found themselves in conflict with parents who, never having undergone discipline themselves, would not allow it to be applied to their children.

Children are experts in weighing up their environment. Having learned at home that no behaviour, however outrageous, would attract punishment, they went on to schools where the same philosophy prevailed. It takes only a few days for children to learn that nobody is going to make them toe any line. At that age only the certainty of instant punishment for the transgressor is effective. Once sure that they would be "loved" and "understood" — "sensitively", of course — when they deserved to be caned, they began to behave like little savages.

At the same time, at the behest of the social scientists, Britain's excellent educational system was being stood on its head by the introduction of the comprehensive school. This meant that any sort of scholastic competition went out of the window. The argument was — and is — that the ever-increasing numbers of those nurtured by a welfare state, who are incapable of attaining the standards, would feel "rejected" and "alienated". With the removal of the penalty for failure went the removal of the prize for excellence. The comprehensives continue to churn out, with some honourable exceptions, vast numbers of semi-literate, semi-numerate unemployables, helots made to order for the slavery of One World.

Many believe that this revolution in manners, morals, beliefs and educational standards simply happened as a result of "progress" or "democracy" or some similar asinine cliché. Few, indeed, see that it came about because a few first-class brains, dedicated to the destruction of the West, backed by unlimited funds and ably assisted by the talking classes and the ubiquitous "useful fools", worked very hard and very cleverly to ensure that it did come about.

Problematic Remedy

To diagnose our ills is easy enough. What is not so easy is to find a remedy. Many parents — not by any means all, of course — are themselves too feckless to be able to see that discipline is essential for their children, let alone be able to enforce it. But this is not the root of the problem. This root is to be found in the British political system. The British seem to believe that because everyone has a vote they live in a democracy. They also believe, most of them never having given the matter a moment's thought, that of all forms of government democracy is the best. Plato, as we know, took the precisely opposite view.

The British democracy is controlled, though the fact is never admitted, by an elite. This is odd, indeed, since to be an elitist is, in the eyes of our opinion formers, very nearly the worst thing a man can be. An elitist is almost as bad, to the man of goodwill, as a white, cigarette-smoking South African who loves his country and goes to church. Nevertheless, this elite thinks that the average man is not capable of making the right decisions for himself. So they make the decisions for him, without letting him know about it.

The elite controls those who control the main political parties. The average man has no idea that such people exist. But they do. They ensure, for instance, that Britain remains in the Common Market in the teeth of the very large number who wish to

leave. They ensure that Britain remains a multi-racial society, although it is known that most Britons would have it otherwise. The elite ensures that the views of the average citizen on crime and punishment are never discussed, let alone given effect. In the field of education, this elite makes sure that the desire of a great many parents for their children to be disciplined, controlled and made to work at their books is perpetually frustrated.

How to get rid of this liberal, humanistic, essentially hostile elite is the basic political problem of today. It will be almost equally difficult to replace it with another elite, of diametrically opposed views to that of today, which will give effect to the common wish for an orderly society.

One despairs of seeing it happen. Yet unless it does happen, and before too long, the immensely valuable human stock of this country will perish. That is, of course, the intention.

RECOMMENDED READING

The Absolute Essentials of the Upbringing of Children is a 73-page reprint of an extract from Fr. John Hagan's *Compendium of Catechetical Instruction*. It would be hard to over-emphasize the importance of this book, the contents of which were written over seventy years ago. Its publisher, the Britons Catholic Library, considers there is nothing like it in print and that it outlines the only correct and psychologically sound method of bringing up children. The book deals with all aspects of the subject concisely, clearly and very inspiringly. Every parent should own a copy and refer to it. Available, price one pound including surface mail postage to anywhere in the world, from Britons Catholic Library, P.O. Box 554, London W8 6RS.

"THAT FIERCE DEMOCRACY"

"From 1970 to 1980 Peru was ruled by what Bishop Casey called benign military government. Since the democratically elected Belaunde regime came to power six thousand people have disappeared. Guerrilla groups had sprung up in the oppressed areas. Poverty was responsible for the violence, Bishop Casey said" — *The Universe*, August 16th.

READ

THE AFFLUENCE OF MIDAS

by Andrea Krylienکو

A good 50p worth of truth about God and Mammon

from

CANDOUR Publishing Company,
Forest House, Liss Forest, Hants.

WHO WILL BE JAILED NEXT?

by DOUG COLLINS

We imagine many readers will have read in the press of James Keegstra's prosecution for "wilfully promoting hatred of the Jews" under Canada's iniquitous equivalent of our Race Relations Act, for which he was fined \$5,000. The following article by Doug Collins, although out-of-date now as far as the deadline for Jim Keegstra's appeal fund is concerned, is a fearless examination of the issue at stake in the trial.

Lord knows what the censors, backscabs, lick-spittles and other friends of democracy will have to say, but I have a proposal to make:

Send some money to the Jim Keegstra Defence Fund. By doing so, you could strike a blow for freedom.

The Keegstra trial was a travesty. Not because the jury were bad; still less because the judge was bad. From all accounts, Mr. Justice John MacKenzie did a first class job. And it was clear from the time they took to make up their minds that the jury hardly knew which way to jump. It's a pity they jumped the way they did, but trials of this sort are a gamble.

The affair was a travesty because the "hate law" under which Keegstra was charged should never have passed. It was created to placate a powerful pressure group and was given the nod by a minority vote of Parliament. It was politics, not justice, that put it on the statute books.

The Canadian Jewish Congress and its friends can talk till they're short of breath, but it will never convince anyone with an ounce of brains that this was not a freedom of speech issue, just like the Zundel trial. Keegstra believes what he says and has been financially ruined for espousing his beliefs. His tormentors, meanwhile, complain that he hasn't been sent to jail.

You might as well send *any* preacher to jail. For that, essentially, is what Keegstra is. Anyone who can spout in the witness stand for nearly three weeks about Christianity, Judaism, Jewish conspiracies and the Second Coming of the Lord *has* to be a preacher. He may be a kook as well, but if kookery is to be punishable with up to two years in the clink, who will be safe? Isn't it only in people's democracies like the U.S.S.R. that "kooks" are sent to jail?

Like all True Believers, Jim Keegstra will continue to preach his gospel. You could nail him to a cross or hang him up by his toes and he would praise the

Lord for making him a martyr. For him, the trial was an opportunity to invest in the hereafter.

But Keegstra himself is not my concern. Nor am I worried about his having been fired from his teaching job. That is the local school board's business. What we should be concerned about is *justice*, and justice is not served by persecuting people for their beliefs. If it is, then ours is a fake democracy.

Keegstra today, you tomorrow.

In its way, it is amusing that one of his sins was teaching that a person cannot go to heaven without believing in Christ, and that Jews and all other non-believers are condemned to the fires of hell. Well, the Catholics and other Christian denominations teach or have taught the same thing. So do other religions. When do they go to court?

As usual, the mainstream press fails to meet the challenge. It floats on a safe tide. Neither the Sun nor the Morning Wimp has proclaimed for free speech, for instance. On the contrary, the Sun's contribution was a sickening smug editorial in which it stated that the guilty verdict showed that "such behaviour will not be tolerated . . ." The Globe & Mail had a few doubts about the outcome, but sounded apologetic for having them.

In fining Keegstra \$5,000, the judge was obviously being as lenient as possible in the circumstances. And for good reasons. But if we, the public, pay that fine it will send a signal to some people that Canadians are concerned about freedom of speech. The jury foreman had the same concern, for he too said he would contribute.

I am sending \$25. Are there 200 others who will do the same? The deadline is August 20, and cheques should go to: The James Keegstra Defence Fund, P.O. Box 332, Eckville, Alberta TOM OXO

See you in jail.

The Publishing Event of the Year ! **HOLOCAUST NEWS**

The Newspaper that exposes

THE MYTH OF THE SIX MILLION

Only 30p. (including postage) from

CANDOUR PUBLISHING COMPANY

THE PRINCE AND THE UN-PAUPER

by JOHN COTTER

A friend of both Prince Charles and Dr. Armand Hammer, the oil billionaire, was quoted in the *Toronto Globe and Mail*, July 1st, 1985, as saying, "Charles treats Hammer like a father. He is enormously fond of him and consults him all the time. Hammer's enthusiasm for the Prince's projects is clearly a factor, but people don't understand the Prince's personality if they think that this is the end of the story. The friendship is not considered appropriate at Buckingham Palace and it has been maintained at some cost. It is a rather strange friendship, but it is a true one." The *Globe and Mail* continued: "When the Prince's second son, Harry, was born, it was widely bruited in the press that Dr. Hammer was to be a godfather but that the Queen intervened to prohibit it."

WHO IS DR. ARMAND HAMMER -

Dr. Hammer is head of Occidental Petroleum Corporation and many other business interests. He was a friend of both Lenin and Trotsky in his youth and his father, Dr. Julius Hammer, also a multi-millionaire, was one of the organisers of the Communist Party in the U.S.A. in 1919 and was imprisoned as a Communist there. Dr. Armand Hammer made a twenty billion dollars fertilizer deal with the Soviet Union in 1974. He has establishments in both Moscow and Peking, but his principal residence and business headquarters are in Los Angeles. He is very much a one-worlder — a Communist one-worlder, that is!

He puts up the money for the six United World Colleges for Prince Charles, who is President of the United World Colleges International Council. Needless to say, the six United World Colleges — with a Communist multi-millionaire financing them — are Marxist-inspired and serve as training grounds for the future *nomenklatura* of a Marxist one-world. The six colleges are in Canada (the Lester Pearson College of the Pacific), Wales, the U.S.A., Singapore, Swaziland and Singapore. Two more are due soon in Venezuela and India. Hammer attended the general assembly of the United World Colleges International Council in Wales in June 1985. (It meets every five years.) The *Globe and Mail* reported, "Dr. Hammer sat at Prince Charles' right side at every meal for two days. The Prince's solicitude for his old friend . . . was conspicuous but unaffected. Dr. Hammer was the last person to say goodbye to the Prince before he left . . ." The newspaper summed it up by

describing Hammer as "a big figure in Prince Charles' life". Elsewhere, the same issue of the *Globe and Mail* wrote of the Prince that "his ideology tends toward left of centre".

It is reported that Dr. Hammer is to make a violently anti-American movie from his California studios with the new Soviet President Andrei Gromyko's son as its script writer. In his column in the *Toronto Sun* on July 7th, 1985, William Stevenson wrote, "The script, written by Gromyko Jnr, with great professionalism, contains every anti-American distortion you can imagine, and others beyond the imagination of anyone not working for the K.G.B.'s department of active measures, otherwise known as disinformation." Gromyko Jnr. works for Georgy Arbatov, Director of the Institute of U.S. and Canadian Studies in Moscow, the main Soviet centre for the study of North America. Former Canadian Liberal Prime Minister Pierre Elliott Trudeau stayed with Georgy Arbatov in Moscow for a month in June and July of this year. Mr. Trudeau is off to Peking in October! Gromyko's real name, incidentally, it Katz, and Arbatov and Hammer are also Jews. Yet our media would have us believe that Soviet Russia is persecuting the Jews! More K.G.B. disinformation?

CAPITALISM AND COMMUNISM

Why do super-capitalist Jews like Dr. Hammer, and many others, back Communism, which is supposed to be "against capitalism"? The answer is, of course, Capitalism is *not* abolished by Communism! All that happens is that capitalism is turned into a State Monopoly with a new, very small minority of a privileged elite of commissars, managers and bureaucrats holding down the best positions in the Marxist state; the *nomenklatura*, they are called in Soviet Russia. Remember, King Louis XIV said, "*L'etat? C'est moi!*" and "*moi*" in 1655 meant *one* person.

The privileges of Capitalism, and its potentialities for abuse, are simply transferred to new hands. No wonder many rich and influential individuals are Communists, like Dr. Hammer. Communism is simply a confidence trick where a cabal of unscrupulous men, but with a most effective propaganda machine at their disposal, acquire power by pretending to nationalize a nation's wealth for "the workers". A good example was furnished by the first post-war socialist Government in Britain under Clement Attlee. A Socialist Minister, G. R. Strauss, already an elite, public school-educated millionaire, nationalised ninety-six steel firms, but retained his own metal

works. He thus acquired power over ninety-seven firms. Some wag called it the "Steal Bill"!

An example from Canada is that of the New Democratic Party, which is really a Socialist party. Mr. Richard Johnston is an important member of the N.D.P. and a member of the Ontario Provincial Parliament. An article in the *Toronto Globe and Mail*, November 7th, 1981, said of him: "... with firebrand Richard Johnston at the extreme left ..." and "... his rhetorical appeal to the left of the party is one of the major points in his strategy". The same article then went on: "Along with talking over the banks and as many natural resources companies as soon as possible, Mr. Johnston said an N.D.P. Government should get together with the Quebec Government to take over Bell Canada. 'God, we could make a fortune,' he said."

All the prominent British spies for the Soviet Union were of the "upper middle class", one (Anthony Blunt) even working in Buckingham Palace! Kim Philby went to an elite public school where the wearing of a top hat and tails was mandatory for the pupils.

In passing, we recall that *Szabad Nep*, official organ of the Hungarian Communist Party, was forced to chide Hungarian Communists for "not having a single working-man among their friends", telling them to cultivate such friendships in the future! (Incident reported in *The Times*, July 6th, 1949.) *The Times* on June 20th of the same year reported that Laslo Rajk was the sole Gentile in the "Hungarian" Communist hierarchy. He was hanged in October 1949 for a variety of offences including — you guessed it! — "anti-Semitism"!

Once we realise what Communism really is, it is easy to understand why so many ultra-rich people — the Trilateral Commission, the Council on Foreign Relations, the Bilderberg Group, the Club of Rome, the Aspen Institute, etc. — are all working towards a one world Marxist dictatorship.

TO RETURN TO PRINCE CHARLES

Prince Charles will use the title "Defender of the Faith" when he becomes King. Worrying as his close friendship with Dr. Hammer is, there are other very disturbing factors. An interview with the Prince reported in the *Globe and Mail*, June 20th, 1983, stated: "Then there were Christian values, though tempered by an awareness that *they were not the only ones in the world*. 'I think we can learn, all of us, from other people's beliefs,' he said. 'Why are we here, What is the point? If you look at other religions and philosophies they, and we, are all trying to answer the same basic question, but just because

we go about it in different ways, it doesn't mean we should dismiss the others out of hand. *A study of comparative outlooks is terribly important and that is something I would like my children to have because a great deal can be learned from the stories that other people have to describe our origins as human beings, our difficulties approaching the mysteries of life.*'"

The *Globe and Mail*, July 1st, 1985, reported: "A few Anglican clerics express concern about his fascination with non-Christian religions." It also said: "... He has sworn off all blood sports ... and is close to becoming a vegetarian. He will not eat the flesh of warm-blooded animals and he hardly touched the fish that was served to him at two formal dinners he attended in Wales (at the meeting of the United World Colleges International Council)." All this suggests that Prince Charles may have accepted the non-Christian belief in Reincarnation, or the Transmigration of souls which often leads to vegetarianism.

Prince Charles was greatly influenced by his great uncle Earl Mountbatten, who advised him and the Queen and the Duke of Edinburgh to keep in step with "the Revolution" to avoid being assassinated, as was the Tzar Nicholas II of Russia in 1918. Both Mountbatten and the British Royal Family were closely related to the Tzar. Ironically, his advice did not stop Mountbatten being himself assassinated by the Communist I.R.A. in 1979! Mountbatten had a world-wide network of Socialist friends with whom he kept in close touch; he was not, of course, killed for his far-left political sympathies but because he was a symbol of Royalty.

The late Countess Edwina Mountbatten was the millionaire grand-daughter of Sir Ernest Cassel, a multi-millionaire Jewish international financier and behind-the-scenes adviser and personal financier to the free-spending King Edward VII. The Cassel-Edward VII friendship is strikingly similar to the current Armand Hammer-Prince Charles friendship. Cassel was a business associate of Jacob Schiff of Kuhn, Loeb and Co. The *New York Journal-American* of February 3rd, 1949, stated: "Today it is estimated by Jacob's grandson, John Schiff, a prominent member of New York society, that the old man sank about twenty million dollars for the final triumph of Bolshevism in Russia." Cassel put up part of the money for the London School of Economics, which had the declared purpose of "training the future Socialist bureaucracy of Britain". Harold Laski dominated the L.S.E. for many years in the 1930s and 1940s, while his friend Felix Frankfurter master-minded the New Deal on similar principles in the United States. Both Pierre Trudeau and John

Kennedy studied under Laski at the London School of Economics.

In conclusion, his friendship with Dr. Armand Hammer, the Mountbatten influence, and his "fascination with non-Christian religions" are most disturbing because as the next King, Prince Charles will become the Defender of the Faith, meaning the *Christian* Faith. Fortunately, the survival of Christianity is not dependent solely on the fads and fancies of the Prince of Wales.

LEAVE IT OUT—TO PHASE A COIN

Are you *au fait* with history,
Can reckon-up the score
Of victory at Crecy
And again at Agincourt?

Are you smug that the Armada
Was a kind of Spanish Fly,
An impotence evader —
A Spanish Main run dry?

Balaclava, Blenheim;
Ramilies, Camperdown,
Inkerman, that early climb —
A crest in Valour's crown.

Trafalgar, Cape St. Vincent;
Copenhagen and The Nile,
History, effervescent,
Exudes from Britain's isle.

Of course, you will be well read
On how the British made
An Empire and elected
Some civilising trade.

But shall you know the answer
To debt for evermore,
Usury's enhancer
In Sixteen Ninety Four.

When banking came to England
And National Debt did spew
Out like grains of desert sand —
History's great taboo!

B. E. BIGGS.

NEW CANDOUR SUBSCRIPTION RATES

U.K.	£4.50
Overseas airmail	£5.00
U.S.A. Dollar Rate	\$10.00

Forest House, Liss Forest, Hants GU33 7DD

GET RECRUITING

How did you first learn of *Candour*? You might have received your first copy through reading an advertisement in another magazine or seeing a copy in your local library or by finding one in a telephone kiosk.

Or it might be that you wrote a letter sometime to a newspaper, which published it with your name and address, and sometime afterwards through the post came a copy of *Candour*.

If that was the case with you, or even if it wasn't why not keep a regular eye open for published letters from people who look like potential readers?

We will be only too pleased to send you ten back-issues of *Candour* for only £1.50 post-free, if you will undertake to send them to promising letter-writers who appear in your local press.

Who knows? Your action may bring *Candour* a keen new reader who will in turn set to work to bring in others. So let's hear from you NOW!

ECUMENICAL THINGS TO COME

African drumbeats were heard in a parish church near Saffron Walden one Sunday in late July when Canon R. N. Humphries invited the Aklowa dancers and drummers from Ghana to perform. According to the Canon, the missionaries had got it all wrong about Ghanaian religion, mistakenly labelling it voodoo, witchcraft and ju-ju. "Believe me," he said, "there was no truth in it." And anyway, Ghana had had its own religion long before Christianity appeared. This service of "multi-cultural enrichment" ended with three songs — *Kilayliamo, Baa Baa Black Sheep and Three Blind Mice*! Roman Catholics need not feel smug about this instance of Anglican light-headedness: while in West Africa, Pope John Paul II was reported in the *Sunday Express* as having invited a group of voodoo "priests" to worship with him at a Catholic shrine!

FATIMA AND THE GREAT CONSPIRACY

Mrs. Deirdre Manifold's best-selling book unravels the Wall Street-Kremlin conspiracy and traces it back to its roots in Reformation times.

NOW AVAILABLE, PRICE £3, FROM
CANDOUR PUBLISHING COMPANY